# **Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs. 3A to 3L. In Figs. 3A to 3L, "TRANSISTER" is replaced with --TRANSISTOR-- to correct the typographical error.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

Docket No.: 8054-46 Page 12 of 15

#### **REMARKS**

Indication that claims 16-21 are allowed is appreciated. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,872,047, issued to *Lee et al.* (hereinafter "*Lee*"). Claims 3, 6-8, 10 and 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

In response to the above-identified Office Action, Applicants request amendment of the application and seek reconsideration thereof. In this Response, the specification, abstract, and drawings are amended to correct typographical errors. Claims 1, 3 and 12 are amended. Claim 8 is canceled. Accordingly, claims 1-7 and 9-21 are pending in the present application.

### I. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by *Lee*. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the elements of claim 8, which the Examiner has indicated as allowable. Applicants submit that inasmuch as claims 2, 4, 5, 9 and 11 are dependent on claim 1 and claim 1, as amended, is patentable over *Lee*, these claims are patentable as dependent on a patentable independent claim.

## II. Objection to the Claims

Claims 3, 6-8, 10 and 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 3 and 12 are rewritten in independent form. Therefore, claims 3 and 12, as amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants submit that inasmuch as claims 6-8, 10 and 13-15 are dependent on claim 1 and claim 1, as amended, is patentable over *Lee*, claims 6-8, 10 and 13-15 are patentable as dependent on a patentable independent claim.

Docket No.: 8054-46 Page 13 of 15

#### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. Issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC

Dated: 1/1/05

Bv:

Frank Chai

Reg. No. 34,136

F. Chau & Associates, LLC 130 Woodbury Road Woodbury, NY 11797 (516)-692-8888